

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Linford, Tera](#)
Subject: FW: Comments to proposed changes to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8
Date: Thursday, September 30, 2021 4:49:25 PM

From: Kathleen Kyle [mailto:kkyle@snocopda.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 3:51 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Comments to proposed changes to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8

External Email Warning! This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

RE: Comments to proposed changes to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8

To Justice Johnson and Justice Yu,

On behalf of the Defenders at the Snohomish County Public Defender Association, as the Executive Directive, I am writing in support of the proposed rule changes to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8.

Prosecutors have a duty to not engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice in RPC 8.4. And yet, there are objections to the appointment of counsel and motions to transfer *pro se* CrR 7.8 motions to the Court of Appeals related to State v Blake. The procedural objections to counsel and relief from judgment procedures could be cured by amending CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8. There is much work to be accomplished and rule clarity would help the people most vulnerable currently incarcerated in prison have their matters duly processed.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Kyle | she/her/hers
[Snohomish County Public Defender Association](#)
2722 Colby Avenue, Suite 200
Everett, Washington 98201
425.339.6310 | kkyle@snocopda.org